Robert S. Arns, State Bar No. 65071 1 RSA@ARNSLAW.COM Jonathan E. Davis, State Bar No. 191346 2 JED@ARNSLAW.COM 3 Steven R. Weinmann, State Bar No. 190956 SRW@ARNSLAW.COM 4 THE ARNS LAW FIRM 515 Folsom Street, 3rd Floor 5 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 495-7800 Tel: 6 Fax: (415) 495-7888 7 Jonathan M. Jaffe, State Bar No. 267012 JMJ@JAFFE-LAW.COM 8 JONATHAN JAFFE LAW 3055 Hillegass Avenue 9 Berkeley, ČA 94705 (510) 725-4293 Tel: 10 Fax: (510) 868-3393 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 14 15 Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG ANGEL FRALEY; PAUL WANG; SUSAN MAINZER; JAMES H. DUVAL, a minor, by 16 DECLARATION OF STEVEN R. and through JAMES DUVAL, as Guardian ad WEINMANN IN SUPPORT OF Litem; and W.T., a minor, by and through 17 PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO RUSSELL TAIT, as Guardian ad Litem; **DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF** 18 individually and on behalf of all others similarly SELECTIVE WITHDRAWAL OF situated. **CERTAIN DOCUMENTS FROM** 19 **DEFENDANT'S** ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO Plaintiffs, 20 FILE UNDER SEAL 21 V. Courtroom: 8 22 FACEBOOK, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1-Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh 100, 23 Trial Date: December 3, 2012 Defendants. 24 25 26 27 Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative 28 **Motion To File Under Seal** Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

I, STEVEN R. WEINMANN, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before all the courts of the State of California and I am admitted to practice before this Court. I am an attorney for Plaintiffs in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, unless otherwise stated, and if called upon to testify, could and would competently testify thereto. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Notice of Selective Withdrawal of Certain Documents From its Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, Etc.
- 2. On April 5, 2012 Facebook filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Additional Documents and Portions of Documents Lodged by Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. On April 17, 2012, Facebook filed a Notice of Selective Withdrawal of Certain Documents and Portions of Documents from its Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Documents Lodged by Plaintiffs in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification ("Selective Withdrawal Notice"). The Administrative Motion as modified by the Selective Withdrawal Notice, moves to file the following documents or portions thereof under seal; Plaintiffs oppose filing these documents under seal. Note, only citations to page and beginning line are made as the motion and accompanying documents are filed under seal. Unless a specific ending page is noted in the left-hand column, Plaintiffs' intent was and is to object to the entire highlighted / redacted area which begins on that page and line. Each of these designations was previously objected to, with the exception of the two citations as to the minor class representatives, which are in bold below, and as to which Plaintiffs believe Facebook does not have a protectable interest, as these statements concern actions by the Plaintiffs on their own personal knowledge. Furthermore, Facebook also did **not** include these designations in the highlighted, proposed redactions in the

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

revised list on page 2 of Exhibit A to the Notice of Selective Withdrawal. Nor were the highlighted redactions on page 6 of the Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law as to lines 14-15, included in Exhibit A. Thus, these redactions in the brief seem to have been highlighted in error, and the text should not be highlighted or redacted as to those three locations.

## Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law

Location	Plaintiffs' Position
Pg 6:4	Plaintiffs rely on the publicly available information Facebook provides to
	the general public in documents such as
	http://ads.ak.facebook.com/ads/FacebookAds/Sponsored_Stories_Guide_0
	42511.pdf;
	https://www.facebook.com/help/?faq=174979932556240#I-want-to-
	advertise-on-Facebook;
	https://www.facebook.com/help/?faq=173594992698822#How-does-the-
	auction-for-Facebook-Ads-work?;
	https://www.facebook.com/help/?faq=201828586525529#Do-I-have-to-
	pay-for-Facebook-Ads-or-Sponsored-Stories?-
	https://www.facebook.com/ads/create/;
	https://www.facebook.com/help/?faq=200000840044554&ref_query=ad+
	manager; and
	https://www.facebook.com/ads/manage;
	among others, to show that this is public knowledge.

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

2	5

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's
Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative
Motion To File Under Seal
Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

Pg 6:14	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement; <u>Facebook has</u>
	apparently withdrawn its request but not removed the highlighting
	through inadvertence.
Pg 7:5	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 7:9-7:15	Plaintiffs did not mark these statements confidential, and Facebook
	has no right to so mark them as they are based on Plaintiff Duval's
	own personal knowledge about which Plaintiff chooses to waive any
	confidentiality. Facebook has apparently withdrawn its request but
	not removed the highlighting through inadvertence.
Pg 7:15	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 8:1-8:6	Plaintiffs did not mark these statements confidential, and Facebook
	has no right to so mark them as they are based on Plaintiff W.T.'s own
	personal knowledge about which Plaintiff chooses to waive any
	confidentiality. Facebook has apparently withdrawn its request but
	not removed the highlighting through inadvertence.
Pg 8:6	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 8:10	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 8:14	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.

## **Expert Declarations**

1

2	Location	Plaintiffs' Position
3	Location	Flamulis Fosition
	Alberico	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
4	Pg 3:9 Alberico	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
5	Pg 3:11	ramming see nothing confidential about the statement.
6	Alberico Pg 3:12	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
7	Alberico	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
8	Pg 3:14	
9	Alberico Pg 4:2	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
10	Alberico Pg 5:2	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
11	Alberico Pg 6:10	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Vincent Alberico's opinion and
12	1 g 0.10	Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under
13		seal. Plaintiffs continue their objection to the entire paragraph being held
14		confidential, except with respect to the first two clauses of the sentence
15 16		starting on line 6:10.
17	Alberico	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Vincent Alberico's opinion and does not
18	Pg 6:21	refer to confidential information.
19	Alberico	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Vincent Alberico's opinion and
20	Pg 7:1	Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under
21		seal.
22		Seal.
23	Drogin Pg 2:8	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
24	Drogin Pg 2:10	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
25	Drogin	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
26	Pg 2:13	

4

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal

Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

27

## Case5:11-cv-01726-LHK Document132 Filed04/20/12 Page6 of 7

Drogin	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 2:14 Drogin	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Pg 2:15	Trainting see nothing confidential about the statement.
Drogin Pg 2:19	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Richard Drogin's opinion and Defendant
4995	has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal.
Drogin Pg 3:7	Plaintiffs see nothing confidential about the statement.
Drogin Pg 4:3	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Richard Drogin's opinion and does not refer to confidential information.
Taber Pg 9:17	Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal.
Torres Pg 5:1-5:2	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Fernando Torres' opinion and Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal.
Torres Pg 5:9	Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal.
Torres Pg 6:14	Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal,.
Torres Pg 6:18	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Fernando Torres' opinion and Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal, particularly as to footnote 3.
Torres Pg 7:15	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Fernando Torres' opinion and formula, and Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement filed under seal; at most only the second clause in the sentence should be redacted.

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG

## Case5:11-cv-01726-LHK Document132 Filed04/20/12 Page7 of 7

Torres Pg 7:20	This is based on Plaintiffs' expert Fernando Torres' opinion and his
rg 7.20	formula, and Defendant has not shown good cause to have the statement
	filed under seal; at most only the first clause in the sentence should be
	redacted.

3. I declare under the penalty of perjury and under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and based upon my personal knowledge and that if called upon to testify, I could verify the accuracy of the same. This document was executed in the city of San Francisco, California on April 20, 2012.

Steven R. Weinmann

Steven R. Wellinailli

Declaration Of Steven R. Weinmann In Support Of Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Notice Of Selective Withdrawal Of Certain Documents From Defendant's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK PSG